

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

| | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ALFRED RONSDORF, | § |
| | § No. 519, 2005 |
| Defendant Below- | § |
| Appellant, | § |
| | § Ct. Below-Court of Chancery |
| v. | § of the State of Delaware |
| | § in and for New Castle County |
| RANDALL JACOBSON and | § C.A. No. 518-N |
| TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | § |
| CORPORATION (USA), LTD., a | § |
| Delaware corporation, | § |
| | § |
| Plaintiffs Below- | § |
| Appellees. | § |

Submitted: November 4, 2005

Decided: January 26, 2006

Before **STEELE**, Chief Justice, **BERGER** and **JACOBS**, Justices

ORDER

This 26th day of January 2006, the Court has considered the appellees' motion to affirm pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 25(a) and finds it manifest on the face of the appellant's opening brief that the appeal is without merit for the reasons stated by the Court of Chancery in its well-reasoned decisions dated January 6, 2005, August 23, 2005 and October 13, 2005. We find no error or abuse of discretion on the part of the Court of Chancery in any respect.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the appellees' motion to affirm is GRANTED. The judgment of the Court of Chancery is AFFIRMED.¹

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Carolyn Berger
Justice

¹ In deciding this matter, the Court has considered, and rejected, the arguments raised in the appellant's letter to the Court dated December 11, 2005 and Motion for Leave to File a Statement of Objections to Appellee's Motion to Affirm.