IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

JAMES D. SIVLEY,	§	
	§	No. 643, 2002
Defendant Below,	§	
Appellant,	§	Court Below: Superior Court
	§	of the State of Delaware
V.	§	in and for Kent County
	§	
STATE OF DELAWARE,	§	Cr. ID No. 0004006015
	§	
Defendant Below,	§	
Appellee.	§	

Submitted: December 3, 2002 Decided: January 9, 2003

Before VEASEY, Chief Justice, WALSH and STEELE, Justices.

ORDER

This 9th day of January 2003, it appears to the Court that:

- 1. On November 21, 2002, the appellant, James D. Sivley, filed a *pro se* notice of appeal from a decision of the Superior Court dated October 21, 2002. In its decision, the Superior Court denied Sivley's Motion to Produce Transcripts.
- 2. On November 25, 2002, the Clerk of this Court issued a notice, pursuant to Supreme Court 29(b), directing Sively to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed based on this Court's lack of jurisdiction to entertain a criminal interlocutory appeal. On December 3, 2002, Sively filed a response to the notice to show cause. In that response, Sively discusses the matter of timeliness. He does not address the issue of this Court's lack of jurisdiction to entertain a criminal interlocutory appeal.

¹ Although Sively's appeal was untimely, the Notice to Show Cause was not directed to the question of the untimeliness of the appeal.

3. The Superior Court's denial of a motion for transcripts is clearly an interlocutory ruling in this criminal matter.²

4. Under the Delaware Constitution, this Court may review only a final judgment in a criminal case.³ As a result, this Court does not have jurisdiction to review the Superior Court's interlocutory ruling in this case.⁴

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 29(b), that this appeal is DISMISSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ E. Norman Veasey Chief Justice

²See Robinson v. State, 704 A.2d 269, 271 (Del. 1998).

³DEL. CONST. ART. IV, § 11(1)(b).

⁴See Gottlieb v. State, 697 A.2d 400 (Del. 1997); Rash v. State, 318 A.2d 603 (Del. 1974).