IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

CANDY SNYDER,	§	
	§	No. 563, 2008
Respondent Below,	§	
Appellant,	§	Court BelowFamily Court of
	§	the State of Delaware in and
V.	§	for Kent County
	§	
MATTHEW SNYDER,	§	
	§	File No. CK05-01326
Petitioner Below,	§	Pet. No. 08-06374
Appellee.	§	

Submitted: December 18, 2008 Decided: January 6, 2009

<u>O R D E R</u>

This 6th day of January 2009, it appears to the Court that:

(1) On November 12, 2008, the appellant filed a notice of appeal from a Family Court Commissioner's child support order dated October 15, 2008. On November 13, 2008, the Clerk issued a notice directing that the appellant show cause why the appeal should not be dismissed for this Court's lack of jurisdiction to consider an appeal directly from a Commissioner's order.¹

¹See Del. Code Ann. tit. 10, § 915(d)(1) (1999 & Supp. 2008) (providing that a party's appeal from a commissioner's final order is to a judge of the Family Court in the first instance); Del. Fam. Ct. Civ. R. 53.1(b) (providing that an appeal to a judge must be filed within thirty days of the commissioner's order); *Redden v. McGill*, 549 A.2d 695, 698 (Del. 1988) (holding that Supreme Court's appellate jurisdiction over Family Court proceedings is limited to decisions of judges).

(2) The appellant did not respond to the Clerk's notice to show cause. The appellant's failure to respond to the notice is deemed to be her consent to the dismissal of this appeal.²

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Supreme Court Rules 29(b) and 3(b)(2), that the appeal is DISMISSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Jack B. Jacobs Justice

²See Del. Supr. Ct. R. 29(b) (providing that a party's failure to respond to a notice to show cause shall be deemed to be consent to dismissal pursuant to Rule 3(b)(2)); Del. Supr. Ct. R. 3(b)(2) (providing that an individual Justice may issue an order terminating a case when a party has failed to timely respond to the Court's notice to show cause why the appeal should not be dismissed).